Evidence for shock heating and constraints on Martian surface temperatures revealed by \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) thermochronometry of Martian meteorites
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Abstract

The thermal histories of Martian meteorites are important for the interpretation of petrologic, geochemical, geochronological, and paleomagnetic constraints that they provide on the evolution of Mars. In this paper, we quantify \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) ages and Ar diffusion kinetics of Martian meteorites Allan Hills (ALH) 84001, Nakhla, and Miller Range (MIL) 03346. We constrain the thermal history of each meteorite and discuss the resulting implications for their petrology, paleomagnetism, and geochronology. Maskelynite in ALH 84001 yields a \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) isochron age of 4163 ± 35 Ma, which is indistinguishable from recent Pb-Pb (Bouvier et al., 2009a) and Lu-Hf ages (Lapen et al., 2010). The high precision of this result arises from clear resolution of a reproducible trapped \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{36}\text{Ar}\) component in maskelynite in ALH 84001 (\(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{36}\text{Ar} = 632 ± 90\)). The maskelynite \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) age predates the Late Heavy Bombardment and likely represents the time at which the original natural remanent magnetization (NRM) component observed in ALH 84001 was acquired. Nakhla and MIL 03346 yield \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) isochron ages of 1332 ± 24 and 1339 ± 8 Ma, respectively, which we interpret to date crystallization. Multi-phase, multi-domain diffusion models constrained by the observed Ar diffusion kinetics and \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) age spectra suggest that localized regions within both ALH 84001 and Nakhla were intensely heated for brief durations during shock events at 1158 ± 110 and 913 ± 91 Ma, respectively. These ages may date the marginal melting of pyroxene in each rock, mobilization of carbonates and maskelynite in ALH 84001, and NRM overprints observed in ALH 84001. The inferred peak temperatures of the shock heating events (>1400 °C) are sufficient to mobilize Ar, Sr, and Pb in constituent minerals, which may explain some of the dispersion observed in \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\), Rb-Sr, and U-Th-Pb data toward ages younger than ~4.1 Ga. The data also place conservative upper bounds on the long-duration residence temperatures of the ALH 84001 and Nakhla protolith to be 22 ± 5 °C and 81 ± 9 °C over the last ~4.16 Ga and ~1.35 Ga, respectively. MIL 03346 has apparently not experienced significant shock-heating since it crystallized, consistent with the fact that various chronometers yield concordant ages.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. INTRODUCTION

Martian meteorites have provided a diversity of petrologic, geochemical, geochronological, and geomagnetic constraints on the evolution of Mars. However, some of our understanding and interpretations of these findings depend on the inferred thermal and shock histories of each meteorite. Specifically, the physical significance of
radioisotopic ages, timing of natural remanent magnetization (NRM) acquisition, and conditions for secondary mineral deposition are sensitive to the thermal histories of each meteorite and its protolith during residence near the Martian surface and subsequent transport to Earth.

Several studies have reported $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar age determinations for Allan Hills (ALH) 84001, the results of which are dependant upon corrections for trapped, terrestrial, cosmogenic, and reactor-produced Ar isotopes. The range in observed $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar ages (~3.8–4.2 Ga; Table S1) is well beyond analytical uncertainties, which likely reflects real age variations as well as different correction procedures (Ash et al., 1996; Knott et al., 1996; Ig et al., 1997; Turner et al., 1997; Bogard and Garrison, 1999). Small discrepancies also arise from inconsistent values of decay constants used in the various studies, but these are small compared to the apparent age variations. Sm–Nd and Rb–Sr ages initially converged upon an apparent isogean crystallization age of 4.50–4.56 Ga (Jagoutz et al., 1994; Nyquist et al., 1995; Nyquist et al., 2001), although Wadhwa and Lugmair (1996) reported a bulk rock and pyroxene Rb–Sr isochron age of 3.84 ± 0.05 Ga. More recent Pb-based geochronometry strongly indicates that the crystallization age of ALH 84001 is ~4.1 Ga (Bouvier et al., 2009a; Jagoutz et al., 2009), similar to U–Pb and Th–Pb ages forapatite of 4.02 ± 0.01 and 3.97 ± 0.43 Ga, respectively, which were originally interpreted as impact-reset ages (Terada et al., 2003). Lapen et al. (2010) report new Sm–Nd and Lu–Hf ages of 4.41 ± 0.03 and 4.09 ± 0.03 Ga, respectively. The older (and presumably erroneous) Sm–Nd age has been attributed to isochron “rotation” by altered (younger) phosphate minerals or open system behavior (Lapen et al., 2010). This hypothesis appears to be corroborated by more recent phosphate Th–Pb ages, which are younger than the age of crystallization (2.93 ± 0.41 Ga; Jagoutz et al., 2009). Rb–Sr and U–Th–Pb ages for carbonates range from 1.4 to 4.05 Ga (Wadhwa and Lugmair, 1996; Borg et al., 1999), although the 1.4 Ga age has been challenged on geochemical grounds (Borg et al., 1999). U–Th–He ages on phosphates generally range from 0.1 to 1.5 Ga (Min and Reiners, 2007). In summary, although the crystallization age appears to be ~4.1 Ga, considerable uncertainty exists regarding the age of carbonate formation and the timing of shock events.

Several studies have also reported $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar age determinations for Nakhlæ (Table S1). The results have generally converged upon an age of 1.3–1.4 Ga (Podosek, 1973; Bogard and Husain, 1977; Swindle and Olson, 2004; Park et al., 2009). Rb–Sr, Sm–Nd, and U–Pb studies yield younger or equivalent ages, ranging from 1.24 to 1.36 Ga (Table S1; Gale et al., 1975; Papanastassiou and Wasserburg, 1974; Nakamura et al., 1982; Bouvier et al., 2007). Ganapathy and Anders (1969) determined a U–Th–He age of ~0.77 Ga. Again, these age variations are greater than analytical uncertainties, although they are considerably smaller than those observed in ALH 84001. Unlike Nakhlæ, the $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar, Sm–Nd, Rb–Sr, Lu–Hf, and Pb–Pb chronometers all yield indistinguishable ages of ~1.3–1.4 Ga for Miller Range (MIL) 03346 (Table S1; Shih et al., 2006; Bouvier et al., 2009; Park et al., 2009).

Observed $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar age spectra of extraterrestrial samples commonly show incomplete radiogenic $^{40}$Ar (hereafter $^{40}$Ar*) retention manifested as partially reset (i.e., sub-pla- teau) $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar ages in initial heating steps. These features have commonly been attributed to $^{40}$Ar* loss due to elevated surface temperatures (e.g., Turner, 1971; Weiss et al., 2002b; Shuster and Weiss, 2005) and impact events (e.g., Turner et al., 1966; Bogard, 1995; Shuster et al., 2010). Accurately quantified diffusion kinetics are necessary to relate laboratory observations of late-stage $^{40}$Ar* loss to geological thermal histories. To better understand the thermal histories of ALH 84001, Nakhlæ, and MIL 03346 and to reconcile some of the observed age variations, we have undertaken detailed $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar studies of these meteorites. In this work, we quantify Ar diffusion kinetics of each sample and examine the permissible physical conditions that could have resulted in the apparent $^{40}$Ar* distributions. Because appreciable quantities of $^{40}$Ar* exist in glasses, plagioclase, and pyroxene, and because the kinetics of Ar diffusion in these phases are distinct, $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar thermochronometry of whole-rock meteorites can constrain a range of time-temperature $(t–T)$ conditions for each sample. Using the apparent diffusion parameters and age spectra, we investigate the shock and thermal histories of ALH 84001, Nakhlæ, and MIL 03346.

2. SAMPLES

2.1. ALH 84001

ALH 84001 is a coarse-grained, cataclastic orthopyroxenite that is composed of 97% orthopyroxene (OPX; average composition En$_{34}$Fs$_{52}$Wo$_{3}$), 2% chromite, <1% maskelynite (typically An$_{34}$Ab$_{62}$Or$_{4}$), 0.15% phosphates (merrillite and apatite), and trace quantities of clinopyroxene (CPX), carbonate, pyrite, and olivine (Mason et al., 1992; Mittlefehldt, 1994, 1997; Greenwood and McSween, 2001). Maskelynite is the primary potassium (K)-bearing phase, with lesser quantities of K in OPX. ALH 84001 has been subjected to several shock events throughout its history (Treiman, 1995, 1998, 2003). It contains mm-wide bands of crushed orthopyroxene with 10–30 µm OPX grain fragments (Treiman, 1995), as well as highly-localized OPX glass (Bell et al., 1999; Barber and Scott, 2006). Plagioclase has been completely converted to maskelynite and carbonate spheroids have been fractured and microfaulted by at least one shock event (Treiman, 1998).

2.2. Nakhlæ

Nakhlæ is a coarse-grained clinopyroxenite that comprises ~80% CPX (Wo$_{40}$En$_{30}$Fs$_{30}$), ~10% olivine, ~10% mesostasis with plagioclase (An$_{34}$Ab$_{62}$Or$_{4}$), and trace quantities of OPX, Fe-Ti oxides, alteration phases, and other minerals (Bunch and Reid, 1975; Friedman-Lentz et al., 1999). Mesostasis feldspars are the primary K-bearing phases, with lower K concentrations in olivine and CPX.

---

1. All ages and other quantities throughout this paper are reported at 1σ uncertainty.
Nakhla has been shocked at least once, as evidenced by reduced refractive indices for plagioclase, planar fractures in CPX and olivine and localized brecciation (Fritz et al., 2005), as well as localized pyroxene impact melts (Lambert, 1987; Malavergne et al., 2001).

2.3. MIL 03346

MIL 03346 is a coarse-grained clinopyroxenite that comprises 79% CPX (zoned, core composition is Wo₃₋₁₋₁En₃⁰₋₃₃Fs₂₁₋₂₆, ~1–2% olivine, ~20% mesostasis containing feldspar-composition intercumulus glass (Ab₉₋₁₂Or₉₋₁₄), and trace quantities of OPX, Fe–Ti oxides, alteration phases, and other minerals (Stopar et al., 2005; Anand et al., 2005; Day et al., 2006). Feldspar-composition intercumulus glass is the primary K-bearing phase, with lesser concentrations of K in olivine and CPX (Sautter et al., 2006). Although MIL 03346 appears less altered by shock effects than most other Martian meteorites (Murty et al., 2006), extensive fractures and shock induced twinning are evident in some pyroxenes (Anand et al., 2005).

3. METHODS

3.1. Analytical Details

Whole-rock fragments were loaded into aluminum discs alongside Hb3gr neutron fluence monitors (Jourdan and Renne, 2007). The samples and fluence monitors were co-irradiated for 100 h at the Oregon State University TRIGA reactor in the Cadmium-Lined In-Core Irradiation Tube (CLICIT). Following irradiation we gently broke the friable whole-rock fragments using tweezers and selected (i) ~5 mg whole-rock chips (1–2 mm diameter) and (ii) ~5 mg pyroxene concentrates (5–10 small grains with minor adhering impurities). Due to the small mass of the whole-rock chips, mineralogical proportions likely varied from one aliquot to another. Whole-rock fragments and mineral separates were then loaded into small metal packets made from high-purity Pt–Ir tubes and incrementally degassed using feedback-controlled laser heating with an externally calibrated optical pyrometer (methods detailed in Cassata et al., 2009; Shuster et al., 2010).

During experimental heating, the sample was held at a controlled temperature for a specified duration (600 s). The released argon was purified using two SAES getters (one hot and one cold), analyzed using a Mass Analyzer Products 215 mass spectrometer, and measured statically on a Balzers SEV-217 electron multiplier using procedures similar to those described by Renne et al. (1998). Corrections were made for interfering nuclear reaction products (Renne et al., 2005). 37Ar and 39Ar decay, spectrometer discrimination, and extraction line blanks. Based on a power law relationship (Renne et al., 2009), the mass discrimination (1.008 ± 0.002 per atomic mass unit) was determined from analysis of 90 aliquots from an automated air pipette system, interspersed with the unknowns. Apparent ages were calculated relative to the Hb3gr standard (1080.4 Ma) using the decay constants and standard calibration of Renne et al. (2010) and isotope abundances of Steiger and Jäger (1977) (full datasets appear in the Supplementary Files).

3.2. Regression Criteria for Arhenius plots

Using the fractions of 37Ar or 39Ar and the duration of each step, we calculated the Ar diffusion coefficient (D) normalized to the characteristic length scale (D/a²) following Fechtig and Kalbitzer (1966) and Crank (1975) and assuming plane slab geometry. To quantify diffusion kinetics from calculated values of D/a² following Fechtig and Kalbitzer (1966) assumes that gas is derived from a single diffusion domain containing a uniform concentration distribution. However, whole-rock meteorites clearly violate this assumption. We therefore constrain Ar diffusion kinetics using a multi-domain, multi-phase model. With this model, we were able to constrain the Ar diffusion kinetics of different phases within each meteorite by simultaneously predicting the observed 37Ar and 39Ar release fractions.

We used both Ca-derived 37Ar and K-derived 39Ar data because these isotopes are synthetically produced during neutron irradiation in the reactor and have essentially zero contribution from atmospheric blank. Additionally, as most 37Ar resides within pyroxenes and most 39Ar resides within maskelynite and/or plagioclase, examining both isotopes helps to distinguish and quantify diffusion kinetics in multi-phase, whole-rock samples (see discussions below). We then quantified the temperature dependence of the diffusion coefficients from weighted linear regression models of ln(D/a²) against 1/T and numerical simulations (discussed in detail below).

3.3. Additional corrections

In addition to corrections for interfering nuclear reaction products on Ca and K, 37Ar and 39Ar decay, spectrometer discrimination, and extraction line blanks, corrections were made for reactor-produced 38Ar from chlorine, cosmogenic 38Ar and 36Ar, and trapped 40Ar prior to calculating 38Ar/39Ar ages. By isolating these components we are also able to derive a cosmic ray exposure age. We corrected Ar isotope data as follows.

3.3.1. Correcting for reactor-produced 38Ar from chlorine

Contributions from chlorine-derived 38Ar (38ArCa) can be quantified from a plot of 37Ar/S6Ar vs. 38Ar/S6Ar (a “cosmochron diagram”; Levine et al., 2007), provided that at least one mineral phase or several contiguous extractions are free of 38ArCa; these steps contain a binary mixture of trapped (e.g., atmospheric) and cosmogenic Ar, where the slope of the “cosmochron”; Levine et al., 2007) defines the ratio of cosmogenic 38Ar (38ArCa) to reactor-produced 37Ar (37ArCa). Thus, with knowledge of the production rates of 38ArCa and 37ArCa, the cosmic ray exposure (CRE) age can be calculated using the following equation:

\[ CRE_{\text{eq}} = \left( \frac{38\text{Ar}_{\text{cos}}}{37\text{Ar}_{\text{cos}}} \right) \left( \frac{\gamma}{P^{38\text{Ar}}_{\text{Ca}}} \right) \]
where $P_{38Ca}$ is the production rate of $^{38}$Ar relative to the Ca concentration (Turner et al., 1997) and $\gamma$, the irradiation parameter relating $^{37}$Ar$_{Ca}$ to Ca content, is dependent upon the duration of the irradiation, the neutron flux, and the neutron capture cross section. $\gamma$ is determined by co-irradiating a natural K-bearing standard of known Ca concentration and age (in this case the Hb3gr standard, whose Ca concentration was reported by Jourdan and Renne, 2007) and measuring the $^{37}$Ar$_{Ca}$ and Ca contents calibrated by the $^{37}$Ar$_{Ca}/^{40}$Ar* and the $^{40}$Ar* concentration determined by the age. $P_{38Ca}$, adapted from Eugster and Michel (1995), is given by

$$P_{38Ca} = 8.08 + 0.44 \left( \frac{Fe + Ni}{Ca} \right) + 1.70 \left( \frac{Ti + Cr + Mn}{Ca} \right) + 1.29 \left( \frac{K}{Ca} \right)$$

where $P_{38Ca}$ is in units of $10^{-13}$ moles/Ma. CRE ages are listed in Table 1.

Steps containing measurable excesses of $^{38}$Ar$_{Cl}$ will plot above the cosmochron. Therefore, with knowledge of the exposure age (from the extractions that are free of $^{38}$Ar$_{Cl}$) and the appropriate $P_{38Ca}$ for a given step, the quantity of $^{38}$Ar$_{Cl}$ can be calculated using the following equation:

$$^{38}Ar_{Cl} = \frac{^{38}Ar_T}{^{36}Ar_T} \left( \frac{CRE_{age} \times P_{38Ca}}{\gamma} \right) \left( \frac{^{37}Ar}{^{36}Ar} \right) + \frac{^{38}Ar_{atm}}{^{36}Ar_{atm}}$$

where $^{38}Ar_{Cl}$ is the production rate of $^{38}$Ar relative to the Ca concentration (Turner et al., 1997) and $\gamma$, the irradiation parameter relating $^{37}$Ar$_{Ca}$ to Ca content, is dependent upon the duration of the irradiation, the neutron flux, and the neutron capture cross section. $\gamma$ is determined by co-irradiating a natural K-bearing standard of known Ca concentration and age (in this case the Hb3gr standard, whose Ca concentration was reported by Jourdan and Renne, 2007) and measuring the $^{37}$Ar$_{Ca}$ and Ca contents calibrated by the $^{37}$Ar$_{Ca}/^{40}$Ar* and the $^{40}$Ar* concentration determined by the age. $P_{38Ca}$, adapted from Eugster and Michel (1995), is given by

$$P_{38Ca} = 8.08 + 0.44 \left( \frac{Fe + Ni}{Ca} \right) + 1.70 \left( \frac{Ti + Cr + Mn}{Ca} \right) + 1.29 \left( \frac{K}{Ca} \right)$$

where $P_{38Ca}$ is in units of $10^{-13}$ moles/Ma. CRE ages are listed in Table 1.

Steps containing measurable excesses of $^{38}$Ar$_{Cl}$ will plot above the cosmochron. Therefore, with knowledge of the exposure age (from the extractions that are free of $^{38}$Ar$_{Cl}$) and the appropriate $P_{38Ca}$ for a given step, the quantity of $^{38}$Ar$_{Cl}$ can be calculated using the following equation:

$$^{38}Ar_{Cl} = \frac{^{38}Ar_T}{^{36}Ar_T} \left( \frac{CRE_{age} \times P_{38Ca}}{\gamma} \right) \left( \frac{^{37}Ar}{^{36}Ar} \right) + \frac{^{38}Ar_{atm}}{^{36}Ar_{atm}}$$

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aliquot phase</th>
<th>Cosmochron Analysis</th>
<th>$^{38}$Ar$<em>{cos}$/^{37}$Ar$</em>{Ca}$ ±1σ</th>
<th>CRE age (Ma) ± 1σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALH-1 CPX</td>
<td>Steps used: 13–28</td>
<td>0.0023 ± 0.0002</td>
<td>11.0 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALH-2 OPX</td>
<td>30–42</td>
<td>0.0036 ± 0.0002</td>
<td>10.0 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALH-3 OPX</td>
<td>24–25</td>
<td>0.0044 ± 0.0004</td>
<td>12.3 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALH-4 OPX</td>
<td>34–38</td>
<td>0.0023 ± 0.0000</td>
<td>10.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAK-1 CPX</td>
<td>27–35</td>
<td>0.0018 ± 0.0001</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAK-2 CPX</td>
<td>31–34</td>
<td>0.0020 ± 0.0001</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAK-3 Olivine</td>
<td>30–33</td>
<td>0.0147 ± 0.0009</td>
<td>9.2 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAK-4 CPX</td>
<td>30–36</td>
<td>0.0019 ± 0.0001</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAK-5 CPX</td>
<td>30–34</td>
<td>0.0012 ± 0.0001</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-1 CPX</td>
<td>27–35</td>
<td>0.0018 ± 0.0002</td>
<td>8.6 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CRE Ages calculated using the following parameters: $^{38}$Ar Prod. Rates ($10^{-13}$ moles/gCa/Ma): ALH: OPX = 14.2; CPX = 8.30; Mask. = 8.66 Nakhla: CPX = 8.35; Olv. = 63.6; Plag. = 9.42 MIL: CPX = 8.30 $\gamma$, which relates $^{37}$Ar produced during the neutron irradiation to the mass of Ca, is $1.06 \times 10^{11}$ mol/gCa (and $1.64 \times 10^{15}$ mol/gCa for ALH-4 and NAK-5).
extractions that comprise contributions of trapped and radiogenic Ar derived from dissimilar mineral phases. Because an $^{39}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ isochron is by definition a mixing line between a trapped $^{40}\text{Ar}$ component and a radiogenic $^{40}\text{Ar}$ component, only (i) phases that equilibrated with the same non-radiogenic $^{40}\text{Ar}$ component and (ii) data that are unaffected by differential $^{40}\text{Ar}^*$ loss (i.e., define a plateau after correction for an isotopically uniform trapped $^{36}\text{Ar}$ component) should be included in isochron regressions. Therefore, we attempt to regress each phase independently, restricting our isochrons to extraction steps in which $^{36}\text{Ar}$, $^{39}\text{Ar}$, $^{40}\text{Ar}$, $^{37}\text{Ar}$ can reasonably be attributed to a single mineral phase and $^{40}\text{Ar}^*$ loss is not significant. By treating the isochron data in this manner, we clearly resolve reproducible trapped $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{36}\text{Ar}$ components in ALH 84001 and Nakhla (see results section). In both meteorites it appears that pyroxenes equilibrated with a different $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{36}\text{Ar}$ ratio than maskelynite and plagioclase. If the different phases within each meteorite had equilibrated with the same non-radiogenic $^{40}\text{Ar}$ component, then composite and separate regressions would yield statistically indistinguishable y-intercepts (i.e., trapped $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{36}\text{Ar}$ ratios). By clearly resolving phase-specific trapped non-radiogenic $^{40}\text{Ar}$ components in ALH 84001, the data constrain the $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{36}\text{Ar}$ age with significantly higher precision than if these components were not resolved. We did not find any evidence of a trapped terrestrial atmospheric Ar component in these meteorites.

4. Results

Ten incremental heating experiments were conducted on whole-rock and mineral concentrates of ALH 84001, Nakhla, and MIL 03346. Each sample appears to comprise two phases: a phase which releases Ar at relatively low extraction temperatures (the “low-T phase”) with low Ca/K and a phase which releases Ar at relatively high temperatures (the “high-T phase”) with high Ca/K, where the Ca/K ratio is derived from the $^{37}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ratio weighted by the relevant neutron capture cross sections and isotopic abundances. For the remainder of this paper we assume the low-T, low-Ca/K phase is maskelynite, plagioclase, or mesostasis glass (in the case of ALH 84001, Nakhla, or MIL 03346, respectively) and the high-T, high-Ca/K phase is pyroxene. The observed Ca/K ratios for these phases are consistent with those inferred from microprobe studies on their compositions when available (see sources in Section 2 for details). The Arrhenius plots and $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ age spectra are complex and we discuss our observations separately in the following sections. Analytical results and complete step-wise degassing data appear in Table S2.

4.1. Arrhenius plots and diffusion kinetics

Whole rock fragments and mineral concentrates were subjected to one of two different types of heating schedules: monotonically increasing temperatures with duplicate isothermal steps or cycled heating (i.e., sequentially increasing, then decreasing, then increasing temperatures) with duplicate isothermal steps. In calculating $D/\alpha^2$ values, cycled and isothermal heating analyses test the assumed initial condition that $^{37}\text{Ar}$ and $^{39}\text{Ar}$ were produced uniformly throughout (and diffused from) a single diffusion domain. All three meteorites yield qualitatively similar Arrhenius plots because they have similar mineralogies and grain size distributions (Fig. 1). Therefore, we only discuss in detail the Arrhenius plot of ALH-2 (Fig. 1b), which extends to the highest temperatures and includes retrograde extractions. Although we refer to the low-T phase as maskelynite when discussing ALH-2, in Nakhla and MIL 03346 the low-T phases are plagioclase and mesostasis glass, respectively.

The ALH-2 Arrhenius plot can be explained by diffusion from a range of domain sizes (grain fragments or grains) of maskelynite and pyroxene. Simple linear regressions of the data to determine $E_a$ and $\ln(D/\alpha^2)$ would yield erroneous diffusion parameters because calculated values of $D/\alpha^2$ are not solely defined by diffusion kinetics and domain size, but also reflect the relative abundance of a given isotope in maskelynite and pyroxene (discussed below). The most conspicuous aspects of the Arrhenius plot are the differences between values of $D/\alpha^2$ calculated from $^{39}\text{Ar}$ and $^{37}\text{Ar}$, particularly at low temperatures (Fig. 1b). These differences arise because whole-rock fragments of ALH 84001 contain mineral phases with considerably different Ca/K ratios and apparently different diffusion kinetics. At low temperatures ($<800 \text{°C}$), maskelynite is effectively the only Ar-bearing phase that is degassed while pyroxene remains largely closed with respect to Ar diffusion. Linear regressions to $^{39}\text{Ar}$ and $^{37}\text{Ar}$ values below $800 \text{°C}$ yield equivalent activation energies. This is because the relative isotopic abundances in those extractions, which define $E_a$, are nearly equivalent, reflecting a spatially uniform Ca/K ratio throughout the maskelynite fragments. The relative vertical positions of $^{39}\text{Ar}$ and $^{37}\text{Ar}$ Arrhenius arrays are controlled by the proportions of total $^{39}\text{Ar}$ or $^{37}\text{Ar}$ extracted. In ALH 84001 more than $\sim90\%$ of the $^{39}\text{Ar}$ resides within maskelynite whereas only $\sim10\%$ of the $^{37}\text{Ar}$ does ($\sim90\%$ of the $^{37}\text{Ar}$ appears to reside in pyroxene). Thus, while sequential low-T extractions yield similar relative abundances of $^{39}\text{Ar}$ and $^{37}\text{Ar}$ (i.e., because Ca/K is fairly uniform in ALH 84001 maskelynite), the fraction of the total $^{39}\text{Ar}$ is far greater than that of $^{37}\text{Ar}$. Because calculated $D/\alpha^2$ values for a given isotope are a function of the relative concentration and Ar diffusion kinetics in each phase, it follows that the diffusive frequency factor (i.e., $D/\alpha^2$) cannot be accurately determined by simply regressing $D/\alpha^2$ values against $1/T$ for polyphase materials, but instead requires a more complex model (see discussion below).

$^{36}\text{Ar}$ and $^{38}\text{Ar}$ Arrhenius arrays are not parallel to the apparent $^{39}\text{Ar}$ and $^{37}\text{Ar}$ slopes ($E_a$), but are subtly divergent at low temperature (Fig. 1). This pattern likely indicates that trapped Martian $^{36}\text{Ar}$ and $^{38}\text{Ar}$ are concentrated near diffusion domain boundaries. Such Ar isotope enrichments toward grain margins result in (i) both inward and outward diffusion toward areas of lower concentration upon heating, and (ii) apparently low $E_a$’s for all steps extracted prior to homogenization or exhaustion of the grain-margin enrichment. The latter simply reflects inaccuracy in the assumed initial condition (i.e., that $^{36}\text{Ar}$ and $^{38}\text{Ar}$ concentrations are not spatially uniform). $^{36}\text{Ar}$ zonation is also
responsible for the spread observed on isochron diagrams, which portray variations in the relative proportions of trapped and radiogenic Ar isotopes. Like $^{37}$Ar and $^{39}$Ar, the relative positions of $^{36}$Ar and $^{38}$Ar Arrhenius arrays are determined by their abundances in the low- and high-$T$ phases. Because cosmogenic $^{38}$Ar and $^{36}$Ar (for which $^{38}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar = 1.54; Wieler, 2002) are each more abundant in OPX, whereas maskelynite contains more trapped Martian $^{38}$Ar and $^{36}$Ar (for which $^{38}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar = 0.244; Bogard and Garrison, 1999), the proportion of total $^{36}$Ar diffused in low temperature extractions is greater, resulting in higher $^{36}$Ar $D/\alpha^2$ values.

4.2. Quantifying Ar diffusion kinetics

We determined the $E_a$ of Ar diffusion in maskelynite by linear regression of low-temperature $^{39}$Ar data (<650 °C), while ignoring initial steps that form curvilinear arrays with diminished $D/\alpha^2$ values (see discussion below). In order to be included in $E_a$ calculations, steps were required to yield reproducible $D/\alpha^2$ values for duplicate isothermal extractions and not depart from linear arrays on Arrhenius plots. These selection criteria ensure that a single domain of the least retentive phase dominates the release fractions and therefore that our $E_a$ calculation is valid and applicable to that phase (e.g., Lovera et al., 1991). Extractions in this temperature range are characterized by uniform Ca/K ratios and yield activation energies of $120 \pm 175$ kJ/mol (Table 2).

The lowest-$T$, curvilinear steps that were excluded from $E_a$ calculations reveal information that bears on the geochronology of these samples. Several experimental or mineralogical artifacts can yield lower than expected $D/\alpha^2$ values in initial extractions, including recoil loss of Ar, diffusive loss of Ar prior to analysis due to heating during irradiation and/or extraction line bake-out, zonation with lower Ar concentration at the diffusion boundary, or some combination of these. While $^{39}$Ar recoil loss from maskelynite undoubtedly exists at some level, the curvilinear arrays with diminished $D/\alpha^2$ values cannot be explained by recoil alone. $^{36}$Ar and $^{38}$Ar, which are not subject to reactor-induced recoil, and $^{37}$Ar, which may be enriched near some maskelynite grain boundaries (e.g., due to recoil $^{37}$Ar implantation from neighboring high-Ca phases), also form downward curving low-$T$ Arrhenius arrays. The observation that all Ar isotopes form curvilinear Arrhenius arrays

Fig. 1. Arrhenius plots for (A) ALH-1, (B) ALH-2, (C) NAK-2, and (D) MIL-1 calculated for plane slab geometry following Fechtig and Kalbitzer (1966) and Crank (1975). Uncertainties in calculated $D/\alpha^2$ values and in $1/T$ are generally smaller than the symbols, and are not shown. Model Arrhenius arrays for the $^{37}$Ar and $^{39}$Ar diffusion parameters and domain distributions are shown as solid lines behind the data. Lighter data represent retrograde heating steps.
is the fraction of $^{39}$Ar contained within a given domain. Each sample is fit with a six-domain model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Plagioclase/Maskelynite</th>
<th>Pyroxene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$E_a$ (kJ/mol)</td>
<td>$\ln(D_a/a^2_1)$ (ln(s$^{-1}$))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALH-1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALH-2</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAK-2</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each sample is fit with a six domain model. $\Phi$ is the fraction of $^{39}$Ar contained within a given domain.

with initially low $D/a^2$ values is consistent with minor amounts of diffusive loss prior to analysis. It is likely that all Ar isotopes within maskelynite were partially degassed during both irradiation [100 hours at $\sim 270^\circ$C (Shuster and Farley, 2009)] and extraction line bake-out (10 hours also at $\sim 270^\circ$C), which, based on Ar diffusion kinetics as quantified above, are sufficient to partially diffuse Ar from the smallest maskelynite domains. Therefore, $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar ages calculated from initial, low-$T$ maskelynite extractions may not directly quantify the timing of the most recent episodes of Ar loss. This may explain some of the initial age discrepancies observed between different aliquots of ALH 84001. To more accurately model these experimental conditions we simulated 110 hours of heating at 270$^\circ$C prior to generating model Arrhenius plots and excluded the gas released in this step from the total quantity of a given isotope, which is used to calculate diffusion coefficients.

Above $\sim 650^\circ$C, the linear Arrhenius arrays used to quantify the $E_a$ break down and $D/a^2$ values are lower than expected from upward extrapolation of the low-$T$ linear Arrhenius relationships described above. These deviations from linearity probably result from the sequential exhaustion of small domains (grain fragments) and/or grains of maskelynite. Given that these are stepped-heating analyses of fragmented and multi-phase whole-rock samples, we expect a distribution of diffusive length scales. We further expect the distribution to be relatively discrete, since a limited number of maskelynite fragments are contained within a given ~5 mg aliquot. Therefore, we constructed a model in which each mineral has multiple diffusion domains with invariant $E_a$ and $D_{0w}$ but a range of diffusive length scales [i.e., variation in $a$; hereafter termed “MDD-type models”, conceptually similar to those of Lovera et al. (1991)]. However, we do not have independent knowledge of the actual domain size distribution within each sample and cannot confirm that one $E_a$ should characterize all domains of a given phase. Cassata et al. (2009) recently demonstrated that individual plagioclase grains from a single terrestrial hand sample have subtly different $E_a$. Nonetheless, we assume (i) all domains of a given phase share a common $E_a$, (ii) pyroxene and maskelynite are the only Ar-bearing phases, and (iii) the relative phase proportions are constrained by the Arrhenius plots. We describe our method for fitting Arrhenius plots with MDD-type models at the end of this section.

Between 900 and 1000$^\circ$C, the apparent Ca/K ratio increases sharply (Fig. 2b) suggesting that pyroxene begins to degas significantly. Due to the strong contrast in diffusion kinetics, increasing the number of low-temperature labora-

![Fig. 2. Plot of the cumulative fraction of $^{39}$Ar vs. (A) Ca/K and (B) ln(r/ro) (the difference between the natural logarithm of a given $D/a^2$ value and that expected from the low-temperature Arrhenius relationship) for ALH-2. Data are shown in grey and models are shown in red. Dashed lines indicate the fraction of $^{39}$Ar contained within a given maskelynite or pyroxene domain (see text for discussion). The multi-domain, multi-phase diffusion models were optimized to reproduce both the Ca/K and ln(r/ro) spectra.](image-url)
typically do not replicate between isothermal steps (i.e., indicating that multiple pyroxene domains may be present), and (ii) the initial pyroxene extractions included in E<sub>p</sub> calculations may contain minor contributions of 37Ar from the incompletely depleted, less retentive phase and may therefore result in artificially high values of ln(D/a<sup>2</sup>).

For each analysis, we used three low-T and three high-T domain sizes to predict the Ar release patterns. To constrain the ln(D/a<sup>2</sup>) for each domain (and the E<sub>p</sub> for pyroxene for samples in which temperature was not monitored above ~1100 °C) we minimized the error-weighted least-squares differences between the observed and predicted values of ln(D/a<sup>2</sup>) using numerical simulations. We roughly assigned the fraction of 39Ar within each maskelynite domain (Φ<sub>0</sub>) by assuming that minor segments of positive slope that separate sub-horizontal, low-T arrays on plots of the cumulative fraction of 39Ar vs. ln(r/r<sub>a</sub>) represent the sequential exhaustion of maskelynite domains (Fig. 2a). Thus the proportion of total 39Ar between two segments of positive slope on a ln(r/r<sub>a</sub>) plot defines the quantity of 39Ar in a given domain (Fig. 2a). The quantity of 37Ar in a given maskelynite domain is determined relative to 39Ar using the observed Ca/K ratio (Fig. 2b). The remaining 37Ar and 39Ar are partitioned into pyroxene domains such that the observed Ca/K and ln(r/r<sub>a</sub>) plots are reproduced. This procedure is somewhat subjective and solutions are not unique. Various combinations of E<sub>p</sub> and domain ln(D/a<sup>2</sup>) values can predict the Ar release patterns. For example, in Figure S2 we show three MDD-type models that predict the observations: one using the E<sub>p</sub> determined by linear regression of the pyroxene data, one with a higher pyroxene E<sub>p</sub>, and one with a lower pyroxene E<sub>p</sub>. Although the MDD-type models are not unique, linear regressions of the pyroxene data should constrain the minimum pyroxene E<sub>p</sub> (see discussion above). Diffusion kinetics and domain distributions for each modeled dataset are given in Table 2.

To summarize, ALH 84001, Nakhla, and MIL 03346<sup>2</sup> Arrhenius plots (Fig. 1) can be explained by diffusion from a range of domain (grain) sizes of either maskelynite or plagioclase and pyroxene. Simple linear regressions of the data to determine E<sub>p</sub> and ln(D/a<sup>2</sup>) would yield erroneous diffusion parameters for these multi-phase, multi-domain meteorites. Due to relatively high diffusivity of Ar in fine-grained maskelynite and plagioclase fragments, it appears that small but significant quantities of Ar may have been degassed during irradiation and extraction line bake-out. Initial extractions that define curvilinear Arrhenius arrays with anomalously low values of D/a<sup>2</sup> for all Ar isotopes can be explained by diffusive loss associated with this pre-analysis heating. Because small fractions of Ar may have been lost under laboratory conditions prior to incremental heating analyses, ages calculated from the first extraction may not directly have geochronological significance (particularly in the case of maskelynite, as discussed above).

Finally, by simultaneously examining Arrhenius plots, ln(r/r<sub>a</sub>) plots, and Ca/K spectra, we are able to identify steps derived primarily from maskelynite, pyroxene, or two-phase mixtures, which guides quantitative regression of isochron data obtained from polyphase extraterrestrial materials that have been subjected to episodic Ar loss events.

4.3. Geochronological results

4.3.1. ALH 84001

ALH 84001 age spectra appear to reflect two diffusive loss profiles: a low-T segment derived from maskelynite (low Ca/K, best illustrated on a plot of age against cumulative fraction of 39Ar; Fig. 3b, c, e, h, k) and a high-T segment derived from pyroxene (high Ca/K; best illustrated on a plot of age against cumulative fraction of 37Ar; Fig. 3c, f, i, l). Both phases preserve geochronological information and individual aliquots yield a range of ages and degree of age discordance (Table 3).

Maskelynite in ALH-1 (the low-T portion of the age spectrum) yields an isochron age of 4163 ± 35 Ma with a trapped 40Ar/36Ar ratio of 626 ± 100 (Fig. 4a) and defines a plateau at 4146 ± 15 Ma (Fig. 3b, Table 3), which is indistinguishable from several previous results (Ash et al., 1996; Turner et al., 1997; Bogard and Garrison, 1999). Maskelynite in ALH-2 (Fig. 3e) and ALH-4 (Fig. 3k) do not form plateaus, but rather monotonically increase to “apparent plateaus”<sup>4</sup> at 4000 ± 16 and 4086 ± 11 Ma, respectively. These apparent plateaus comprise <60% of the total 39Ar and represent minimum crystallization ages. An isochron regression of ALH-4 data unaffected by differential radiogenic Ar loss (i.e., the apparent plateau segments) yields a trapped 40Ar/36Ar ratio of 514 ± 450 (Table 3; Fig. 4d). Maskelynite ages from ALH-3 are less precisely determined as this aliquot was gently crushed and selected for its high pyroxene concentration. It yields an isochron age of 3844 ± 148 Ma with a trapped 40Ar/36Ar ratio of 694 ± 230 (Table 3; Fig. 4c), which represents a minimum crystallization age as maskelynite has likely been fragmented in the crushing process and extractions cannot be interpreted to reflect the original 40Ar*/Ar* gradient across intact grains.

The pyroxene-derived segments of the age spectra also contain variably discordant results. Aliquots that yielded the most discordant maskelynite age spectra also yielded the most discordant pyroxene spectra, although pyroxene portions of the age spectra are always more discordant than the maskelynite portions. Pyroxene in ALH-3 yields a plateau age at 1158 ± 110 Ma (Fig. 3i). Pyroxene ages in ALH-

---

<sup>2</sup> The low-T phase in MIL-1 (mesostasis glass) has a highly variable Ca/K ratio, which indicates that 37Ar and 39Ar may be zoned. Duplicate isothermal extractions do not yield reproducible ln(D/a<sup>2</sup>) values and therefore the E<sub>p</sub> is unconstrained. This sample was not used for thermal modeling.

<sup>3</sup> Uncertainties on all isochron, plateau, crystallization, and shock ages reported in this paper include the decay constant uncertainty and are directly comparable to other geochronologic data.

<sup>4</sup> We use the term “apparent plateau” to refer to series of consecutive steps that have indistinguishable ages and no resolvable slope but comprise less than 80% of the total 39Ar contained within a given phase (e.g., the first 20% of the maskelynite portion of the spectrum is discordant). Apparent plateaus observed within age spectra exhibiting diffusive loss profiles may significantly underestimate the true age of a rock.
2 (Fig. 3f) and ALH-4 (Fig. 3l) increase from minima at 1187 ± 837 and 1328 ± 236 Ma to apparent plateaus at 4184 ± 125 and 4256 ± 70 Ma, respectively. These age minima are indistinguishable from the 1158 ± 110 Ma pyroxene plateau age observed in ALH-3. The apparent plateaus observed in ALH-2 and ALH-4 are indistinguishable from Pb-Pb crystallization ages (e.g. Bouvier et al., 2009a) and the 4163 ± 35 Ma maskelynite 40Ar/39Ar age. Isochron data from pyroxene in ALH-3 can be used to constrain a trapped 40Ar/36Ar ratio because pyroxene in this aliquot is unaffected by differential Ar loss (i.e., it forms a plateau after corrections for trapped 40Ar), whereas pyroxenes in other aliquots of ALH 84001 are discordant and therefore do not define isochrons. Although imprecise, the trapped 40Ar/36Ar ratio in ALH-3 pyroxene is 6 ± 13.

In summary, maskelynite within ALH 84001 yields a range of ages, the maximum of which (4163 ± 35 Ma) is indistinguishable from Pb-Pb and Lu–Hf crystallization ages, indicating that (i) maskelynite formed shortly following crystallization or (ii) maskelynite preserves the original crystallization age (i.e., it formed without significant 40Ar* loss). Both pyroxene and, to a lesser extent, maskelynite age spectra are variably discordant (i.e., pyroxene portions of the age spectra are most discordant). The spread in 40Ar/39Ar data toward ages younger than 4.16 Ga suggests that a post-crystallization thermal event differentially degassed 40Ar from individual aliquots, either as a result of differing diffusion kinetics, differing grains size distributions, or small-scale temperature variations during the thermal event. Maskelynite fragments in aliquots of ALH 84001 yield concordant trapped 40Ar/36Ar ratios, the weighted average of which is 632 ± 90 (maskelynite portions of the age spectra were corrected for non-radiogenic 40Ar using this value).

4.3.2. Nakhla

Like those in ALH 84001, Nakhla Ar isotopes appear to be derived from multiple mineral phases, including plagioclase, CPX, and minor olivine. Compared to maskelynite in ALH 84001, the plagioclase in Nakhla is more retentive of Ar at low temperatures, with Ar diffusion kinetics more similar to pyroxene (Table 2). Due to the smaller apparent contrast in Ea between plagioclase and pyroxene in Nakhla, these phases are less well resolved in the stepped heating analysis and more extensive portions of the age spectra contain Ar isotopes derived from both phases (e.g., Fig. 5b, e, h). Because most of the 40Ar and 39Ar are contained within plagioclase, the uncorrected 40Ar/39Ar ratio in mixed-phase extractions is negligibly affected by minor contributions from pyroxene. However, much of the 36Ar in these extractions is derived from pyroxene, wherein cosmogenic 36Ar is abundant, rendering accurate corrections for non-radiogenic 40Ar in multiphase extractions impossible. Simply using the trapped 40Ar/36Ar ratio determined for plagioclase to correct extractions that are contaminated by pyroxene-derived 36Ar will result in a spurious reduction in 40Ar*.

The lowest-T steps (which presumably sample plagioclase grain margins) contain significant abundances of trapped Ar (40Ar*), which gradually decreases in concentration.
Table 3
Summary of $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar incremental heating results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aliquot (material)</th>
<th>Age Spectrum Analysis$^{a,b,c}$</th>
<th>Isochron Analysis$^{b}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase</td>
<td>Feat.$^{d}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALH-1 (wr fragment)</td>
<td>Mask P</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OPX</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALH-2 (wr fragment)</td>
<td>Mask AP</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OPX AP</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALH-3 (opx concentrate)</td>
<td>Mask AP</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALH-4 (wr fragment)</td>
<td>Mask AP</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OPX P</td>
<td>3.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preferred ages are derived from the most concordant age spectra or isochrons. Age uncertainties include the decay constant uncertainty and are directly comparable to other geochronologic data.

$^a$ Ages calculated using the decay constants of Renne et al. (2010) and isotope abundances of Steiger and Jäger (1977).

$^b$ Ages calculated relative to Hb3gr fluence monitor (1080.4 Ma; standard calibration of Renne et al., 2010).

$^c$ Apparent age data corrected using the following trapped $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar ratios [ALH: OPX/CPX = 5 ± 5; Maskelynite = 632 ± 90],[Nakhla: CPX/OPX = 32 ± 9; Olivine = 213 ± 120; Plag. = 2287 ± 430],[MIL: CPX = 28 ± 8; Mesostasis Glass = 1425 ± 230].

$^d$ Ages listed corresponds to the following features P = plateau age; AP = apparent plateau age; WA = weighted average age.

$^e$ Ages listed in bold were used to calculate preferred crystallization and shock ages.

with increasing extraction temperature (5b, e, h). This zoned $^{40}$Ar distribution yields monotonically decreasing age spectra if Ar isotope data are not adequately corrected for atmospheric contributions (Park et al., 2009). Plagioclases in NAK-1, NAK-2, and NAK-5 yield isochron ages of 1389 ± 247 (Fig. 4e), 1373 ± 37 (Fig. 4f), and 1303 ± 31 Ma (Fig. 4g), with trapped $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar ratios of 676 ± 4900, 2119 ± 670, and 2430 ± 570, respectively (Table 3). All 3 aliquots yield concordant trapped $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar ratios, the weighted average of which is 2287 ± 430; extractions included in plateau age calculations have been corrected using this value.

The Nakhla pyroxene and olivine age spectra are variably discordant. Spatial $^{40}$Ar* distributions in clinopyroxene grains in NAK-2 (Fig. 5f) and NAK-4 (Fig. S3b) appear most significantly reset; the age spectra define plateaus at 913 ± 9 and 906 ± 50 Ma, respectively. An olivine concentrate (NAK-3; Fig. S3a) forms an apparent plateau at 1215 ± 160 Ma, which is indistinguishable from the crystallization age.

4.3.3. MIL 03346

The release pattern of MIL 03346 is qualitatively similar to Nakhla, presumably in part because they are both clinopyroxenites (Fig. 5j, k, l). Steps that unambiguously comprise only mesostasis glass-derived Ar isotopes without
evidence for differential radiogenic Ar loss yield an isochron age of 1367 ± 19 Ma with a trapped 40Ar/36Ar ratio of 1425 ± 230 (Table 3; Fig. 4h). Pyroxene extractions in MIL 03346 yield an isochron age of 1333 ± 9 Ma (Table 3; Fig. 4h), which is statistically indistinguishable from that of the mesostasis glass. Similarly, Park et al. (2009) obtained an age of 1334 ± 54 Ma for a pyroxene concentrate. Thus, it appears that pyroxene in MIL 03346, unlike that in Nakhla and ALH 84001, has not been significantly disturbed by a thermal event. Although pyroxene and mesostasis glass isochron ages in MIL 03346 agree, pyroxene has a lower trapped 40Ar/36Ar ratio (28 ± 8). Pyroxenes in both Nakhla and MIL 03346 have similar, unusually low trapped 40Ar/36Ar ratios (≤30), which might be consistent with formation in a mantle source region that is enriched in primordial 36Ar and geochemically depleted (i.e., low K).

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. High-temperature age discordance and shock events

ALH 84001 age spectra contain a feature that is commonly observed in extraterrestrial rocks: a decrease in the ages of high temperature extractions relative to lower temperature steps and a correlated increase in Ca/K, often succeeded by a monotonic increase in ages. This feature has previously been attributed to recoil-implanted 39Ar from a potassium (K)-rich donor phase into a K-poor receptor phase, and has generally not been ascribed to diffusive 40Ar distributions within pyroxene (e.g., Turner and Cadogan, 1974; Huneke and Smith, 1976). While 39Ar recoil redistribution is undoubtedly manifested in many terrestrial and extraterrestrial 40Ar/39Ar whole-rock age
4.16 Ga, then the $^{40}\text{Ar}^*/^{39}\text{ArK}$ ratios observed in pyroxene we assume that the true age of pyroxene in ALH 84001 is crystallization age are due to excess $^{39}\text{Ar}$. For example, if high-
mean recoil distance of $^{39}\text{Ar}$ is geometrically and physically impossible. As the study of $^{39}\text{Ar}$ recoil, Jourdan et al. (2007) found that only $3\%$ of the total $^{39}\text{Ar}$ was lost from a < 5 µm fragment is less than 1 µm in width. In fact, a detailed
reciprocal constraint, because we assume no $^{39}\text{Ar}$ is recoiled out of the system or implanted into non-pyroxene phases, which is geometrically and physically impossible. As the mean recoil distance of $^{39}\text{Ar}$ is $\sim$0.1 µm (Huneke and Smith, 1976), this suggests that the average maskelynite fragment is less than 1 µm in width. In fact, a detailed study of $^{39}\text{Ar}$ recoil, Jourdan et al. (2007) found that only $3\%$ of the total $^{39}\text{Ar}$ was lost from a < 5 µm size fraction of sandstone crystals. This suggests that the amount of recoil occurring in ALH 84001 would be extraordinarily high. If the young high-$T$ step ages of ALH 84001 are indeed an artifact of extraordinary $^{39}\text{Ar}$ recoil, we should observe anomalously old ages over significant portions of the maskelynite age spectra (i.e., from which the recoiled $^{39}\text{Ar}$ would have been ejected) (e.g., Trieloff et al., 1998). However, maskelynite in ALH-1 yields a plateau over > 90% of the total $^{39}\text{Ar}$ (Fig. 3a), which is necessarily unaffected by recoil (except when $^{39}\text{Ar}$ recoil loss serendipitously balances diffusive $^{40}\text{Ar}$ loss perfectly). Furthermore, the first ~10% of the total $^{39}\text{Ar}$ is associated with sub-plateau ages, rather than older ages as predicted by the recoil hypothesis.

5.1.1. Constraints imposed by mass balance
We can estimate the abundance of recoil-implanted $^{39}\text{Ar}$ that is required to explain the observed decrease in ages of high-$T$ steps by assuming that all ages younger than the crystallization age are due to excess $^{39}\text{Ar}$. For example, if we assume that the true age of pyroxene in ALH 84001 is 4.16 Ga, then the $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{ArK}$ ratios observed in pyroxene from ALH-3, which yields a plateau age of 1158 ± 110 Ma, reflect the recoil implantation of 26% of the total $^{39}\text{Ar}$ generated in maskelynite. This simple calculation represents a minimum constraint, because we assume no $^{39}\text{Ar}$ is recoiled out of the system or implanted into non-pyroxene phases, which is geometrically and physically impossible. As the mean recoil distance of $^{39}\text{Ar}$ is $\sim$0.1 µm (Huneke and Smith, 1976), the recoil hypothesis demands that a high-$K$ phase be ubiquitously distributed amongst sub-micron to micron sized pyroxene crystals to account for the observed pyroxene age spectra. However, in both Nakhlite and ALH 84001, pyroxene is often completely isolated from high-$K$ phases (adjacent to other grains of pyroxene, olivine, carbonate, etc.) and individual grains commonly exceed 100 µm in diameter. $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ analyses of pyroxene-bearing terrestrial basalts, wherein fine-grained pyroxene and plagioclase are intimately adjoined, show that recoil-implanted $^{39}\text{Ar}$ into pyroxene produces much less precipitous, and often imperceptible, anomalies in $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{ArK}$, as predicted by the recoil length scale. This apparent inconsistency in the recoil hypothesis was recognized in the recent study of Nakhlite $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ age spectra by Park et al. (2009), who noted that grain size does not correlate with the magnitude of the observed anomaly.

Fig. 5. Apparent age and Ca/K spectra for Nakhla and MIL 03346. Age spectra are plotted against the cumulative fraction of $^{39}\text{Ar}$ and $^{37}\text{Ar}$.
5.1.3. Effects of Alteration

It has been suggested that aqueous alteration may cause some of the observed high-T age discordance in Martian meteorites (e.g., Turner et al., 1997). While some localized aqueous deposits are present in ALH 84001 (as well as Nakhl and MIL 03346), extensive alteration that has pervasively modified pyroxene and maskelynite is not observed. In a recent TEM investigation, Barber and Scott (2006) found no evidence for aqueous alteration in ALH 84001 pyroxene crystals. Furthermore, alteration products are more abundant in MIL 03346 than ALH 84001 and Nakhl, but we do not observe anomalously young ages in high-temperature extractions. Thus aqueous alteration is not an adequate explanation for high-T age discordance in these rocks.

5.1.4. The shock degassing hypothesis

An alternative hypothesis is that the anomalously low ages commonly observed at high release temperatures of whole-rock, extraterrestrial age spectra reflect diffusive $^{40}$Ar$^*$ distributions within degassed pyroxene grains. Several investigators (e.g., Wang et al., 1980; Kunz et al., 1997) have proposed that high-T portions of extraterrestrial age spectra reflect diffusive $^{40}$Ar$^*$ distributions in pyroxene, but this hypothesis have only been considered qualitatively for ALH 84001 (Turner et al., 1997).

As previously noted, pyroxene in ALH-3 yields a plateau age at 1158 ± 110 Ma (Fig. 3i). Pyroxenes in ALH-2 (Fig. 3f) and ALH-4 (Fig. 3l) do not yield age plateaus, but yield minimum step ages of 1187 ± 837 and 1328 ± 236 Ma, respectively. Collectively, these data sug-
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Fig. 6. (A) Maskelynite (blue) and pyroxene (green) diffusion domains modeled for ALH-2 (see Fig. 1b for calculated ln(D/α²) values and Table 2 for the diffusion parameters and domain distribution). Grey diamonds indicate kinetic crossovers. At temperatures above the kinetic crossovers, Ar diffusivity is higher in a given pyroxene domain than in a given maskelynite domain. (B) Modeled ALH 84001 age spectra that would result from several hypothetical heating events ~1.15 Ga ago. The models were calculated using the domain distribution parameters and irradiation and laboratory heating schedule for ALH-2, whose corrected age spectrum is shown in grey behind the models.
gest that ALH 84001 was subjected to shock reheating ~1.15 Ga ago. Initial maskelynite extractions in aliquots analyzed by Turner et al. (1997) yield ages (as originally reported) of 1494 ± 555, 1723 ± 490, and 1898 ± 427 Ma and an aliquot analyzed by Ash et al. (1996) yields an initial age of ~1000 ± 250 Ma. These maskelynite age minima further constrain the maximum age of significant 40Ar* loss. Although one might at first expect that shock heating would preferentially degas maskelynite relative to pyroxene because they degas in that order during monotonically increasing laboratory heating schedules, the opposite might be true in cases of rapid heating to high temperature if their $E_a$ of the two phases were sufficiently different such that their individual Arrhenius arrays intersect at moderately high temperatures. In the following sections, we discuss circumstances under which Ar is capable of diffusing more rapidly from pyroxene than from maskelynite.

5.1.4.1. Brief heating above the diffusive “kinetic crossover” observed on Arrhenius plots. As a result of apparent differences in diffusion kinetics between glass (or plagioclase) and pyroxene (i.e., strongly contrasting $E_a$), 40Ar* may diffuse more rapidly from pyroxene under certain high-temperature conditions (i.e., above the temperature at which the extrapolated Ar Arrhenius relationships intersect; the “kinetic crossover”, as recently discussed by Reiners, 2009). In Fig. 6a, we schematically depict the modeled Arrhenius relationships for the domain distribution of ALH-2 that also appears in Fig 1b. The intersections of lines (denoted with diamonds in Fig. 6a), indicate the temperatures above which Ar diffusivity is higher in a given pyroxene domain (shown in green) relative to a given maskelynite domain (shown in blue). For example, a 1 s heating event at 1400 °C will degas 5.8% of maskelynite with $E_a = 138$ kJ/mol and ln(D/D0) = 4, 3.3% of plagioclase with $E_a = 175$ kJ/mol and ln(D/D0) = 5.5, and 100% of OPX with $E_a = 392$ kJ/mol and ln(D/D0) = 28.5. To further illustrate the kinetic crossover concept, we have modeled ALH 84001 age spectra (Fig. 6b) that would result from several hypothetical heating events at 1.15 Ga using the domain distribution parameters (Table 2; Fig. 1b) and laboratory degassing of OPX-2. Prolonged low temperature heating predicts extensive Ar loss from maskelynite without significant loss from pyroxene (Fig. 6b). Conversely, brief (<1 s) heating at high- T (>1800 °C) predicts the observed age spectrum (Fig. 6b). A major constraint on this model is that heating and cooling are required to occur on the timescale of less than a second, which is too brief to encompass thermal equilibration if the entire rock was heated in bulk. Therefore, localized or focused heating is most likely required and may also explain the range of discordance observed between different aliquots.

5 For all model age spectra we simulated 110 hours of heating at 270 °C prior to degassing using the laboratory heating schedule to account for heating associated with irradiation and extraction line bake-out.

5.1.4.2. Highly localized shock heating (and pressure). Selective degassing of pyroxene may be enhanced by highly localized shock-heating focused along (sub)grain boundaries, as has been inferred in other cases (e.g., Weiss et al., 2000, 2002a, 2008; Min et al., 2003). Because shock-heating increases with the compressibility of the target material, more energy is deposited in regions where irreversible work is performed (Artemieva and Ivanov, 2004; Fritz et al., 2005). Thus, the closure or reduction of voids, pores, fractures, and grain boundaries within pyroxene may facilitate heating of highly localized regions of pyroxene. Numerical simulations of shock heating in polypolyphase, porous materials indicate that submicron temperature differentials greater than 800 °C can be attained following a 1000 m/s impact (Baer, 2002). These regions should cool rapidly during isentropic pressure release (<0.1 s) and thermal equilibration with surrounding cooler regions (ms to s; Fritz and Greshake, 2009). As maskelynite in ALH 84001 is relatively un-degassed, much of the protolith is required to remain at low temperatures during any post-crystallization shock heating such that following thermal equilibration the temperature of the bulk rock is <600 °C. Localized heating must be sufficiently hot to significantly degas pyroxene in less than a second, which requires temperatures above 1400 °C (Fig. 6a). Maskelynite was likely subjected to these localized temperature excursions as well, but Ar diffusion in maskelynite is slower than in pyroxene above the kinetic crossover temperatures (Fig. 6a). For this reason, brief, high-T excursions would much more strongly perturb 40Ar* gradients in pyroxene. The localized nature of these high-T excursions is consistent with the inter-aliquot variations in age discordance.

Because we infer peak shock temperatures of 1400 °C or greater, localized areas of plagioclase and pyroxene melt may be generated. In ALH 84001, Bell et al. (1999) identified small regions (~0.5–2.0 μm) of pyroxene glass along pyroxene fractures, between crystalline OPX and CPX, and between crystalline OPX and carbonates. Some of this OPX glass contains inclusions of carbonate rim material with fine-grained (<200 nm) magnetite crystals, which indicates that it formed during or after carbonate formation. In a more recent TEM investigation of OPX glass in ALH 84001, Barber and Scott (2006) state that “the unusual abundance of both OPX glass and fracture zones in ALH 84001 are all consistent with the effects of shear stresses and frictional heating generated by an intense shock. The complete lack of recrystallization and recovery of the deformed OPX in the TEM suggests that heating was localized and rapid, and not global and prolonged.” It appears that sometime after carbonate and maskelynite formation, a shock event very briefly and locally heated pyroxene resulting in substantial 40Ar* diffusion. This event was probably also responsible for degassing, mobilizing, and intruding small amounts maskelynite in and around pre-existing maskelynite grains (Freiman, 1998; Greenwood and McSween, 2001). Based on the plateau observed in the pyroxene age spectrum of ALH-3 (Fig. 3i), the OPX glass-forming event appears to have occurred 1158 ± 110 Ma ago.
Likewise, we propose that Nakhlia was subjected to a highly localized heating event at 913 ± 9 Ma, which is the weighted average of pyroxene plateau ages (Fig. 5). Initial plagioclase extractions in NAK-1 and NAK-2 yield minimum ages of 1035 ± 129 and 1110 ± 90 Ma, respectively, and an initial olivine extraction in NAK-3 yields an age of 995 ± 222 Ma, all of which probably reflect Ar loss during this event. Pyroxenes in Nakhlia appear to be more significantly degassed than plagioclase and olivine, which likely reflects shock degassing above kinetic crossover temperatures and requires brief, localized heating.

Several petrologic studies provide support for this hypothesis for Nakhlia. Lambert (1987) noted that while pyroxene in Nakhlia is extensively fractured and contains shock melt veins suggestive of shock pressures between 20 and 40 GPa, plagioclase is not converted to maskelynite and laths of this phase show undulose extinction, typical of shock pressure less than 15–20 GPa. He concludes that, and 40 GPa, plagioclase is not converted to maskelynite hypothesizing this event. Pyroxenes in Nakhlia appear likely to be stronger than the remaining medium...tions of K, and hence 40Ar*. We note in addition that our analysis fails to consider the effects of activation volume (\(V_a\)) on the total activation energy for Ar diffusion. Assuming a positive value of \(V_a\), which seems likely for large atoms such as Ar (e.g., Watson and Baxter, 2007) pressure \(P\) would increase the activation energy \(E_a\) by a factor of \(PV_{a}^2\). We are unaware of any experimental determinations of \(V_a\) for Ar diffusion in the phases of interest here, let alone their variations over the fleeting time frame of impact shock. Experimental studies of Ar diffusion under shock heating conditions and/or at higher temperatures would be useful to further evaluate this mechanism.

It is also feasible that maskelynite was fractured after the last major reheating event, possibly during ejection from Mars or impact on Earth, or during sample preparation. Fracturing may reduce the diffusion length-scale and therefore lead to calculated diffusion coefficients (i.e., \(D/\nu^2\)) that do not directly apply to earlier time. Therefore, age spectra obtained from fragments of once larger grains or heavily fractured grains may not reflect the original 40Ar* gradient across intact grains. If the diffusive length scale of maskelynite at the time of shock was larger than that sampled in our experiments, then the kinetic crossover temperature would be lower than that observed today (assuming the pyroxene domain distribution is unchanged).

5.2. Low-Temperature Thermochronometry

5.2.1. Post-shock Thermochronometry of ALH 84001

The oldest maskelynite fragments within ALH 84001 yield an age of 4163 ± 3 Ma, which is indistinguishable from Pb-Pb crystallization ages and suggests that (i) maskelynite formed shortly following crystallization or (ii) maskelynite preserves the original crystallization age (i.e., it formed without significant 40Ar* loss). At 1158 ± 110 Ma, we propose that ALH 84001 was subjected to another shock event. Our data cannot be used to constrain whether or not ALH 84001 was shocked in the intervening time. The younger shock event likely resulted in brief, highly localized, and intense heating (\(>1400 \degree C\)) of pyroxene and maskelynite, resulting in 40Ar* loss by diffusion. Some pyroxene grains were probably heated in excess of their melting...
temperature resulting in the formation of OPX-composition glass. This shock event likely decomposed pre-existing carbonates and degassed and mobilized minor amounts of maskelynite. Much of the observed spread in maskelynite $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ data toward ages younger than 4163 ± 35 Ma is probably due to heterogeneous heating and cooling during this event (Table S1). Localized temperature excursions at 1.16 Ga also offer an explanation for some of the highly scattered U-Th-He ages, which generally range from ~0.1–1.5 Ga (Min and Reiners, 2007), and may be partly responsible for some of the observed spread in Rb-Sr and U-Th-Pb ages (Table S1), as Pb and Sr are mobile at the inferred temperatures (>1400 °C).

ALH-1 forms a plateau over ~95% of the maskelynite portion of the age spectrum (i.e., the first 80% of the total $^{39}\text{Ar}$ released) (Fig. 3a), which indicates that a minimal quantity of $^{40}\text{Ar}^*$ was lost from maskelynite in this aliquot at all points in time after 4.16 Ga ago. The minor age discordance observed in the first ~5% of the maskelynite portion of the age spectrum may reflect $^{40}\text{Ar}^*$ loss associated with (i) localized heating during the 1.16 Ga shock event discussed above, (ii) post-shock elevated temperatures following thermal equilibration of the 1.16 Ga shock event (as opposed to brief, localized heating), (iii) elevated temperatures during or following the ~12 Ma ejection event from Mars’s, or (iv) long duration residence on Mars at elevated ambient temperatures. If we assume all the age discordance observed in the first ~5% of the maskelynite portion of the age spectrum results from $^{40}\text{Ar}^*$ loss associated with bulk-rock heating (not localized heating), we can constrain the maximum allowable $t-T$ conditions that the bulk-rock experienced following the 1.16 Ga shock event, following the ~12 Ma ejection event, or as a result of long duration residence at elevated ambient temperatures.

Considering the two shock events (1158 Ma and ~12 Ma), the fractional loss of Ar (F) from maskelynite in ALH-1 is related to the integrated maskelynite age (IA: 4127 Ma), the true age (TA: 4163 Ma), and the shock age (SA: 1158 and 112 Ma) according to the following equation.

$$F = \frac{e^{\frac{a}{e}(T-T_{SA})} - e^{-\frac{a}{e}(T-T_{SA})}}{e^{\frac{a}{e}(T-T_{SA})} - 1}$$

Assuming plane slab geometry, F is related to the dimensionless parameter $Dt/a^2$ by the following equation:

$$F = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{D}{a^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for $F < 0.6$ (Eq. 6)

According to the Arrhenius relationship,

$$\frac{D}{a^2} = \frac{D_0}{a^2} e^{-\frac{E}{kT}}$$

Substituting Eq. 7 into Eq. 6 yields

$$F = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{D_0}{a^2} e^{-\frac{E}{kT}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Therefore, after substituting $D_0/a^2$ and $E_0$ for the smallest (least retentive) maskelynite domain into Eq. 8, we have an expression for F as a function of T and t, which represent maximum possible bulk-rock $t-T$ conditions following the shock event of interest. Because we assume that no Ar was lost as a result of localized temperature excursions during the shock event and we neglect argon loss associated with other shock events or elevated ambient temperatures, this analysis represents a conservative upper bound on the post-shock $t-T$ conditions for a given event and the true conditions were likely shorter and colder. The results of our modeling (Fig. 7a) suggest that the maximum permissible, mean thermal conditions for ALH 84001 following the 1.16 Ga shock event were between (i) ~80 °C for a duration of 10 Ma (e.g., prolonged cooling at depth beneath an ejecta blanket) and (ii) ~330 °C for several days (e.g., brief heating near the surface or within a polymict breccia). The maximum permissible, mean thermal conditions for ALH 84001 following the ~12 Ma ejection event were between (i) ~75 °C for a duration of 10 Ma and (ii) ~320 °C for several days. Again, since these calculations do not consider any other sources of heat, they represent strong upper bounds on mean temperatures over a given time period. Because other heat sources are likely, the bulk-rock $t-T$ conditions were probably cooler than these maximum permissible constraints. We will return to constraints on long duration residence at elevated surface temperatures in the following section.

5.2.2. Nakhla Thermochronometry

$^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ isochron ages from Nakhla plagioclase define a crystallization age of 1332 ± 24 Ma (Table 3), which is statistically indistinguishable from previous $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ results obtained by other investigators (Table S1). At 913 ± 9 Ma, we suggest that Nakhla was subjected to a shock event that resulted in brief, highly localized, and intense heating of pyroxene. This shock event likely fractured pyroxene and, in isolated locations, caused heating in excess of its melting temperature, resulting in the formation of pyroxene glass. Localized pyroxene heating may explain some of the observed spread toward post-crystallization Rb-Sr and U-Th-Pb ages (Table S1). As discussed above, if we assume all the age discordance observed in the first 10% of the NAK-2 age spectrum results from $^{40}\text{Ar}^*$ loss associated with post-shock elevated temperatures following thermal equilibration (as opposed to brief, localized heating), we can constrain the maximum permissible bulk-rock $t-T$ conditions Nakhla experienced following the 913 Ma shock event or the ~9 Ma ejection event. The results of our modeling (Fig. 7b) suggest that the maximum permissible, mean thermal conditions for Nakhla following the 913 Ma shock event were between (i) ~120 °C for a duration of 10 Ma (e.g., prolonged cooling at depth beneath an ejecta blanket) and (ii) ~355 °C for several days (e.g., brief heating near the surface or within a polymict breccia). The maximum permissible, mean thermal conditions for
Nakhla following the ~9 Ma ejection event were between (i) ~110 °C for a duration of 10 Ma and (ii) ~330 °C for several days.

5.2.3. MIL 03346 Thermochronometry

Plagioclase and pyroxene in MIL 03346 yield ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar isochron ages of 1367 ± 19 Ma and 1333 ± 9 Ma, respectively, and a weighted average age of 1339 ± 9 Ma. Park et al. (2009) obtained similar ages of 1370 ± 80 Ma and 1330 ± 50 Ma for plagioclase and pyroxene, respectively. We suggest that pyroxenes in MIL 03346, unlike those in Nakhla and ALH 84001, have not been subjected to intense, localized shock-heating, consistent with the observation that Sm–Nd, Rb–Sr, Pb–Pb, and Lu–Hf chronometers yield indistinguishable ages (Table S1).

5.3. Constraints on long-duration thermal state

As shown previously, ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar thermochronometry of low retentivity phases within Martian meteorites can also constrain the long-duration thermal conditions of precursor rocks prior to ejection and transport to Earth (Weiss et al., 2002b; Shuster and Weiss, 2005). For this purpose, the least Ar-retentive phases exhibiting the least diffusive loss of ⁴⁰Ar* are most useful for constraining the upper bound. To calculate this bound, we therefore assume that all apparent diffusive loss of ⁴⁰Ar* occurred during simultaneous radiogenic production at a constant temperature over a duration defined by each sample’s plateau age, and that no loss occurred during: (i) the late-stage impact events discussed above, (ii) the impact events which ejected the rocks

---

![Fig. 7. ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar constraints on post-shock t–T conditions immediately following thermal equilibration for events 1158 Ma and 12 Ma ago (ALH 84001) and 913 and 9 Ma ago (Nakhla) (see text for calculations and discussion). Red areas represent permissible solutions.](image-url)
from the Martian surface ~10–13 and ~8–10 Ma ago [for ALH 84001 (based on 38Arcos; Table 1; Turner et al., 1997; Eugster, 1994; Miura et al., 1995) and Nakhla (based on 38Arcos; Table 1), respectively], (iii) transit through Earth’s atmosphere, and (iv) any subsequent heating while on Earth. Although the pre-ejection depths of ALH 84001 and Nakhla are not well known, long-duration thermal modeling constrains maximum average near-surface temperatures because ambient temperatures usually increase with depth.

Using the apparent Ar diffusion kinetics of maskelynite in ALH-1 and plagioclase in NAK-2 (Table 2), we find that the source rock of ALH84001 resided at a maximum mean temperature of 22 ± 8 °C since ~4.16 Ga ago (Fig. 8). Our results are consistent with, but less restrictive than, those previously inferred by Shuster and Weiss (2005) using the 40Ar/39Ar datasets of Bogard and Garrison (1999) and Swindle and Olson (2004), and require that the samples experienced relatively low temperatures for most of their residence on Mars. If any 40Ar* diffused from maskelynite and plagioclase during the shock heating events at ~1.15 and ~0.9 Ga ago (discussed above in Section 5.1.4) for ALH 84001 and Nakhla, respectively, or during ejection or transit to Earth, the long-duration thermal state is required to be colder.

5.4. Implications for NRM acquisition and overprints

Observations of intense magnetic anomalies in the Martian crust by the Mars Global Surveyor (MGS) indicate that Mars had a core dynamo in the Noachian epoch. Paleomagnetic studies of ALH 84001 (Kirschvink et al., 1997; Collinson, 1997; Weiss et al., 2000, 2002a, 2002b, 2008; Antretter et al., 2003; Gattacceca and Rochette, 2004) have found that the meteorite contains an unusual, heterogeneously oriented remanent magnetization that varies in direction at sub-centimeter scales. 40Ar/39Ar data in this and previous studies suggest that much of the characteristic NRM in ALH 84001 was likely acquired as a thermoremanence on Mars during a shock event that strongly heated the meteorite at ~4 Ga. Weiss et al. (2000, 2002a, 2002b, 2008) speculated that the heterogeneous pattern of magnetization originated as a result of one or more post-4 Ga shock events that heterogeneously heated the rock [see Auxiliary Text Section 3.0 of Weiss et al. (2008) for details]. However, a weakness of this explanation was that beyond the...
heterogeneous magnetization pattern, there was little other quantitative evidence in support of localized high-temperature events. The discovery in the present study of localized, short-lived, high-temperature excursions in ALH 84001 (whose temperatures well exceed the 320 °C and 580 °C Curie point of pyrrhotite and magnetite, the ferromagnetic minerals in the meteorite) now provides strong support for this hypothesis. It further dates the NRM partial overprinting event to ~1.15 Ga. This is well after the lifetime of the Martian dynamo, which is thought to have disappeared by the early Hesperian epoch (before 3.9 Ga). The latter conclusion was based on MGS maps of crustal magnetization and the age of the associated terranes (Lillis et al., 2008; Hood et al., 2010). Either the latter conclusion is incorrect (potentially due to small-scale magnetization not resolved by MGS) or else ALH 84001 was overprinted by a crustal remanent magnetization field. As discussed by Weiss et al. (2002a), ALH 84001 was overprinted by a crustal remanent magnetization field. As discussed by Weiss et al. (2002a),

We suggest that the high-T release patterns observed in ALH 84001 and Nakhlite 40 Ar/39 Ar age spectra reflect diffusive 40 Ar* distributions within considerably degassed pyroxene grains, rather than recoil redistribution of Pb-Pb crystallization ages (Bouvier et al., 2009a) and indicates that (i) maskelynite formed shortly following crystallization or (ii) maskelynite preserves the original crystallization age (i.e., it formed without significant Ar loss). The high precision of this result arises from clear resolution of a reproducible trapped 40 Ar/36 Ar component in maskelynite in ALH 84001 (40 Ar/36 Ar = 632 ± 90). The maskelynite age (4163 ± 35 Ma) predates the Late Heavy Bombardment (e.g., Tera et al., 1974) and likely represents the time at which the original NRM component in ALH 84001 was acquired.
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