Where was the biggest impact in the Solar System? Rick Lovett finds one candidate not too far from home.

A lopsided planet

MORE THAN FOUR billion years ago, Mars was hit by an asteroid. Nothing surprising in that: one look at the Red Planet’s crater-pocked surface is enough to reveal the violence of its early history. But this wasn’t your ordinary space rock – it was a dwarf planet, about the size of Pluto.

An asteroid that large can leave a serious dent in a planet. In this case, that dent is the Borealis basin, a giant lowland spreading across most of Mars’s northern hemisphere. The basin is, quite simply, the dominant feature of the northern half of Mars. If Mars once had a large ocean, these were its abyssal depths. Not only is it huge – it is wider than Russia at over 10,000 km across at some points – but even the rocks are unique, with different magnetic signatures than are found elsewhere on the planet. Beneath the surface, the crust is different, too, only half as thick as the crust beneath the southern hemisphere’s highlands. The result is so marked that the difference is often referred to as the ‘crustal dichotomy’, with the up to 6,000 m high rise between the lowlands and the highlands sometimes referred to as the dichotomy boundary. The Red Planet, it seems, is also the lopsided planet.

THE IDEA THAT the northern lowlands might be a humongous impact crater isn’t new. American geologist Steve Squyres of Cornell University in New York (now the principal investigator on the Mars rover team) first proposed it in 1984. But the idea languished for one simple reason: the basin didn’t look right.

Craters are usually circular. The basin isn’t. Partly that’s because a group of gigantic volcanoes – including Olympus Mons, the largest volcano known in the Solar System – sprawl across a large portion of the dichotomy boundary. The lava clearly erupted at a later date, and there’s an enormous amount of it. The entire volcanic region, known as the Tharsis rise, is several times the size of Australia, with the highest peaks soaring more than 30,000 m above the basin floor. Looking at a topographic map, it’s nearly impossible to figure out where the original dichotomy boundary might have lain.

East of the Tharsis rise is another complication: a broad swathe of hills, craters, and canyons that also overlays the original dichotomy boundary. Called Arabia Terra because it’s shaped roughly like the...
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THEN, IN 2008, a trio of papers in a June issue of Nature changed the game. In one, a team led by planetary scientist Jeffrey Andrews-Hanna, then at Massachusetts Institute of Technology in Boston, used satellite measurements of the Martian gravity field to study the thickness of the crust beneath the Tharsis volcanoes. “If you just look at the topography, all you can see is the surface,” he says. “But if you look at the gravity field you can probe the subsurface. By analysing the gravity and topography simultaneously we are able to distinguish between the Tharsis rise and the topography buried beneath it.”

Arabia Terra was now also less of an obstacle. On one side, it had always been clear where the original boundary’s exit point from Arabia Terra lay — on that part of Mars, the boundary is a dominant feature of the terrain. But now, its entry point was also known on the Tharsis side. All that was needed was to connect the dots.

At first the boundary still seemed odd-shaped — something like a giant S-curve across Mars. Then the scientists thought to look at it from a different angle: straight down from above the Martian pole, which lies near the basin’s center. They saw a much simpler shape: a giant ellipse, 16,600 km long by 8,500 km wide.

“This is only one process we know of that will produce giant ellipses like this, and that’s a giant impact,” Andrews-Hanna says. “The [other] largest impact basins in the Solar System, Hellas impact basin on Mars and the South Pole-Aitkin basin on the Moon, are elliptical.”

The reason lies in a combination of spherical trigonometry and impact angles. Small craters are indeed round. Large ones, if the impactor hits at an angle, can be elliptical. It’s a natural consequence of a large rock angling in toward a spherical planet. “We would not expect the circular pattern smaller craters have,” says Walter Kiefer, a planetary scientist at the Lunar and Planetary Institute in Houston, Texas.

Prior to the finding that the Borealis basin was caused by a single impact, our Moon’s South Pole-Aitkin basin (centre) and Mars’s Hellas basin (right) were linked as the impactor crater in the Solar System at around 2,500 km wide — just over a quarter of Borealis’s width.

IN A SECOND paper in the same issue of Nature, a team led by Oded Aharonson — a planetary scientist at California Institute of Technology in Los Angeles — modelled the impact that could have created the basin without destroying the Southern Highlands. “The impact would have to be big enough to blast the crust off half the planet, but not so big that it melts everything,” says Francis Nimmo, a planetary scientist at the University of California, Santa Cruz. It wasn’t a calculation that could have been done when Squyres first proposed the impact hypothesis. The computers of that era simply weren’t up to it. But now, after crunching 500 simulations of impactor size, velocity and impact angle through a supercomputer, Aharonson’s team found that the asteroid was probably 1,600 to 2,700 km in diameter, striking at an angle of 30 to 60 degrees. That makes it half to two thirds the size of the Earth’s Moon. It was also relatively slow moving, striking at a speed between six and 10 km per second.

The calculations are highly technical. “You treat the planet as a fluid and give it the properties of rock and iron,” explains Craig Agnor, a planetary scientist at Queen Mary University of London, Britain. Along with Nimmo, he coauthored the third study, which used a different model to confirm Aharonson’s findings. “You can watch the materials of the planet flow to create a crater and [watch] waves 100 km in size ripple through the planet.”

The final score? Impact theory: 3 and competing theories: 0.

OTHER SCIENTISTS WERE impressed. Such an impact wouldn’t have been bizarre, Hammergren notes. “Early in the formation of the Solar System there was a substantial population of large impactors, and many would have shared Mars’s orbit.”

But that wasn’t all. Aharonson’s team also found that the ‘sweet spot’ impact wouldn’t have created the elevated rim predicted by prior theorists. And Nimmo’s team predicted that shock waves from the impact would travel around the planet and converge on the opposite side, disrupting that part of the crust’s pre-existing magnetism. (Something similar has been seen on the sides of the Moon and Mercury opposite from big impact craters.) That’s
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Andrews-Hanna (now at the Colorado School of Mines in Golden, Colorado) turned his attention to Arabia Terra. What, he wondered, created it? Could the impact have involved here, too? Clues came from the fact that Arabia Terra’s head, relatively gentle slopes separate two steeper escarpments. One, on the basin side, falls rapidly to the bottom of the lowlands. The other, on the south side, makes the final 3,000 m rise to the highlands. The two slopes are parallel to each other, about a 2,000 km apart, like a pair of giant steps separated by a wide, slightly tilted platform.

Thinking about this, Andrews-Hanna came to a startling conclusion: Arabia Terra represents an enormous landslide in which millions of square kilometres of land slid partway into the basin. Suddenly, he realised something that had been troubling him. “One characteristic of the largest impact basins on all of the planets in that they are surrounded by a bull’s-eye pattern of rings, with a regular and predictable spacing,” he says. “The southern edge of Arabia Terra lies where one would predict one of these rings to be.” Furthermore, the terrace-like middle section is consistent with what is seen in other large multi-ring structures. But the multiple rings don’t extend all the way around the Borealis basin. On topographic maps, they’re visible only in Arabia Terra – extending only one quarter of the way around the basin. Other multi-ring structures, however, are also incomplete, particularly the one around the Moon’s 2,500 km South Pole–Aitkin basin. Perhaps that’s a clue to the angle at which the asteroid came in. Or some form of geological focussing of the impact’s shock waves.

What’s interesting is what the topography tells us about how Arabia Terra – and perhaps other such terraces – was formed. One critical clue lies in the way the inner scarp lines up with the rest of the dichotomy boundary. Or rather, the way it doesn’t line up. It appears to be offset about 300 km into the basin, suggesting that the entire terrace is the remnant of a gigantic landslide. If so, it would have been the Solar System’s largest known landslide – the equivalent of shifting most of Australia 300 km northward.

Most landforms occur on the surface, where rocks tumble, drop or slide downslope. But gravity measurements indicate that in Arabia Terra, the deeper rocks seem to have shifted farther into the crater than the surface ones. What this means, Andrews-Hanna says, is that the landslide appears to have occurred deeper, where the rocks are weaker and more able to slide. The surface rocks were merely dragged along with them. How quickly did this occur? Possibly very rapidly. On the Moon, Andrews-Hanna says, one similar landslide may have occurred so rapidly it was complete before material blasted out of the crater had time to hit the ground. “On the other hand,” he says, “things might happen more slowly with a giant basin like Borealis.” A landslide, however, isn’t the only way the impact might have created Arabia Terra’s terrace. James Head III, a geophysicist at Brown University in Providence, Rhode Island, thinks it might instead have been a ‘rotational slump’ – where a large block of crust broke from the Southern Highlands as a result of the impact. The southern side dropped, forming the southern escarpment, while the northern side rose, like the opposite end of a giant see-saw, thereby forming the northern escarpment.

It would have been the Solar System’s largest known landslide, the equivalent of shifting most of Australia 300 km northward.

**WHEN THE FIRST** Viking photos were returned from Mars in the 1970s, the Red Planet was revealed as a world of superlatives. It had the Solar System’s tallest known volcano (Olympus Mons) and the largest canyon (Valles Marineris). Now, it appears that we can add to that the largest known impact basin – a full four times larger than the two prior candidates: Mars’s Hellas basin (which punches a deep hole in the Southern Highlands) and the Moon’s South Pole–Aitkin basin, roughly tied at 2,300 to 2,500 km in diameter.

“I imagine an impact stripping half the crust from the northern hemisphere of Mars,” Hammargren notes. “That’s big.” But even that may not truly have been the Solar System’s largest-ever impact. There is mounting evidence that all four inner planets were at some point clubbed just as hard, if not harder.